UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,652	01/30/2006	Mark G. Mortenson	BKL: 114 (c) US	7037
	7590 09/26/2008 Mark G Mortenson		EXAMINER	
Law Offices of Mark G Mortenson PO Box 310			BARTON, JEFFREY THOMAS	
North East, MD 21901			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision	, Applicati
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/535,6
Review	

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/535,652	MORTENSON, MARK G.	
	Art Unit	
VALARIE R. REID	1700	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed 10 September 2008.

 Improper Request – The Request is improper reason(s): 	and a conference will not be held for the following
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed conc ☒ The request does not include reasons why a ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the f ☒ Other: After-final amendment filed concurrent 	review is appropriate. Pre-Appeal Brief request.
The time period for filing a response continues to run the mail date of the last Office communication, if no N	
held. The application remains under appeal because is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance w brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this drunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which	erferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant ith 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal ecision, or the balance of the two-month time period never is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
The panel has determined the status of the collaim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	claim(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has bee Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits reapplicant at this time.	
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been action will be mailed. No further action is required by	
All participants:	
(1) <u>VALARIE R. REID</u> .	(3)
(2)	(4)